Permian Insects of the Vorkuta Group in the Pechora Basin, and Their Stratigraphic Implications
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Abstract—Insect distribution over the formations and rock units of the Vorkuta Group in northeastern European Russia is considered based on 342 identifiable and properly dated insect fossils. The fossils are found to form a distinctly impoverished assemblage, which is further indivisible in terms of evolutionary level and stratigraphic affinities along the whole characterized part of the Vorkuta Group, except for the lower half of the Ayach’yaga Subformation and the very top of the Inta Fm. The assemblage represents a stratigraphically unresolved mixture, consisting mainly of Kungurian and Early Kazanian elements, with a considerable admixture of Carboniferous relics. A number of insect species are recorded, each in remote members of the section explored, including those belonging to different formations. The results are inconsistent with the hypothesis of considerable age difference between parts of the Vorkuta Group section, although they do not help with dating the deposits within the Kungurian through Kazanian interval. The impoverished character of the assemblage is ascribed to its supposed northern origin. New taxa of the order Grylloblattida are described: Sylvaprisca alba Aristov, sp. nov., Artinska vorkutensis Aristov, sp. nov. (Lemmatophoridae), Neprotembia complicata Aristov, sp. nov. (Aliculidae), Permopectina vorkutensis Aristov, gen. et sp. nov. (Permopectinidae Aristov, fam. nov.), Khosaridelta rigida Aristov, sp. nov. (Permotermopsidae), Parapermula circummaculosa Aristov, sp. nov., Vorkautoperum monstruosum Aristov, gen. et sp. nov., Liomopterella parakitiakensis Aristov, sp. nov., L. tota Aristov, sp. nov., Liomopterum minor Aristov, sp. nov. (Liomopteridae) from the mid-Permian of the Pechora Basin in north European Russia, and Permopectina tshekardensis Aristov, sp. nov. (Permopectinidae) from the Kungurian of the Middle Urals.
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INTRODUCTION

Permian deposits of the Pechora Coal Basin were studied in detail for many years due to their economic importance, and they are thoroughly subdivided and correlated over the large area of the basin (see, for example, Geology..., 1965; Pukhonto, 1998). However, correlation to the international scale and especially position of the Lower/Upper Permian boundary are still disputable (The Coal-Bearing..., 1990, pp. 11–13, 65). Forty years ago Pogorevich and Makedonov (1965) wrote: “The Kungurian age of the [Vorkuta] Group can be considered to be reliably proven only for the Lower Vorkuta [Lek-Vorkuta] Formation …” (p. 41), and further: “Most probably, though still tentatively, the Upper Vorkuta [Inta] Formation can be correlated to the Solikamsk and (at least partly) Sheshma horizons of the ‘Ufimian’ Stage” (p. 61). Thirty years later the authors of Biotas… (1998) drew this boundary somewhat lower. Some of them correlate it to the boundary between the Ayach’yaga and Rudnik subformations of the Lek-Vorkuta Formation (Grun, p. 145; Grunt, Kalashnikov, and Gizatulin, pp. 133–134; less certainly, Naugolnykh, p. 212), others draw the boundary within the Rudnik Subformation, between Members M and N (Esaulova, p. 216; this hypothesis is substantiated in detail by Pukhonto (1998)), and still others assign the entire Vorkuta Group to the Upper Permian (Igonin and Sukhov, pp. 94, 99, text-fig. 29; Kanev, p. 168; Koloda, text-fig. 47). In a recent paper arguing that the Ufimian Stage should be abandoned (Kotlyar et al., 2004), the Vorkuta Group is entirely included in the Kungurian.

In this context the data on fossil insects received by the Arthropoda Laboratory, Paleontological Institute, Russian Academy of Sciences (PIN), from several generations of geologists that worked in the Pechora Basin, are quite interesting. These fossils were collected from various levels within the Lek-Vorkuta and Inta formations, chiefly from core samples. There are more than 550 insects altogether, after excluding those which are not identifiable to order level, or lack proper stratigraphic attribution, we used 342 specimens for further analysis.

Deposits of the Inta and Lek-Vorkuta formations, constituting the Vorkuta Group, are divided into rock units (members) labeled from top to bottom with the letters “E” to “T” (The Coal-Bearing..., 1990; Pukhonto, 1998). The interval from I to R yielded the most
insect specimens: 173 from the Inta Formation (Members E–L); 113 from the Rudnik Subformation of the Lek-Vorkuta Formation (Members M–O), including 18 from Member M, specially discussed below; 43 from the Ayach’yaga Subformation (Members P–T); 11 from the undivided Lek-Vorkuta Formation, and 2 from an interval embracing more than one formation. The material was identified by D.S. Aristov (Grylloblattida), V.N. Vishnyakova (Blattida), A.P. Rasnitsyn (Blattinopseida, Hypoperlida, Palaeomanteida), N.D. Sinitshenkova (Dictyoneurida, Mischoperlida, Diaphanopterida, Perlida), I.D. Sukacheva (Panorpida), and D.E. Sherbakov (Homoptera, Forficulida), all at PIN.

In this paper, typified names are used for the higher taxa of insects (History ..., 2002); namely, Blattida for Blattodea, Dictyoperlida for Diaphanoperloidea, Dictyoneurida for Palaeodictyoptera, Grylloblattida for Grylloblattodea, Mischoperlida for Megasecoptera, Palaeomanteida for Mionoptera, Panorpida for Mecoptera, Perlida for Plecoptera, and Forficulida for Dermaptera.

The distribution of insect finds over the section is represented in the table.

Taking into account only stratigraphically meaningful taxa, i.e., those occurring only in part of the disputable interval, or above or below it, the insects are distributed over the section as follows.

The Inta Formation contains Glishyphrplebia subcostalis (described from the Lower Kazanian; Martynov, 1928), Baeneura sp. (Carboniferous genus; Sharov and Sinichenkova, 1977), Vorkuteunera variabilis (endemic genus; other Spilapteridae in the Carboniferous and Lower Permian; Sharov and Sinichenkova, 1977), Vorkutia tsechernovi (second species of Vorkutia in the Kungurian of Chekarda, Urals; Novokshonov, 1998), Proboslopediae Iaiavinae (Kungurian–Tatarian), Kunguroblattina elongata, K. latimarginata, K. minima (the genus also in the Kungurian; Vishnyakova, 1965), Sojanidelia vorkutensis (most species of Sojanidelia in the Lower Kazanian, one in the Kungurian (Chekarda, Urals), one from Kaltan; Storozenko, 1998), Sylvaprisca alba sp. nov. (the genus since the Kungurian, Aristov, 2001; the species in Members K, E–L, M), Artinska vorkutensis sp. nov. (other species in the Asselian, Artinskian (Leonardian), Kungurian (Novokshonov, 1999) and Lower Kazanian, Liomopterella sp. (other species from the Kungurian up to the Upper Kazanian; Aristov, 2004a, b), Liomopterum minor sp. nov. (the genus from the Artinskian onward; Storozenko, 1998, the species in Members K, M, and, presumably, N).

In the Rudnik Subformation, Member M contains V. variabilis, Cyclocelis sp. (Carboniferous genus; Carpenter, 1992), K. minima, S. alba sp. nov., Parakhosara incommoda (most species of Parakhosara in the Lower Kazanian; Storozenko, 1998, one in the Kungurian), Khozaridelia martynovi (endemic genus, all other species of Permotermopsidae in the Lower Kazanian; Storozenko, 1998), and L. minor sp. nov.

In the Rudnik Subformation, Members N and O contain G. subcostalis, Dunbaria borealis (the genus also occurs in the Artinskian (Leonardian) and Kungurian; Sharov and Sinichenkova, 1977), D. quinquefasciata (the species described from the Kungurian; Martynov, 1940), Homaloneura sp. (Carboniferous genus; Carpenter, 1992), V. variabilis, Epimastax sojanensis (the species described from the Lower Kazanian; Rasnitsyn, 1977, Artinska sp., Khozaridelia rigida sp. nov., Liomopterella tota (distribution of the genus, see above), and L. ?minor sp. nov.

The Ayach’yaga Subformation contains D. quinquefasciata, Homaloneura sp., Vorkutia sp., K. latimarginata, S. multimediana, S. vorkutensis, Liomopterella sp., Permopectina vorkutensis sp. nov. (the genus also occurs in the Kungurian), Parapermula circummaculosa sp. nov. (the genus also occurs in the Upper and Lower Kazanian, Storozenko, 1998; Aristov, 2004b).

The undivided Lek-Vorkuta Formation contains D. quinquefasciata, E. sojanensis, Petromantis sp. (of 18 species of the genus, only one comes from the Kungurian, all or nearly all others from the Upper Permian; Novokshonov, 1997).

Therefore, one can see a mixture of taxa characteristic of the Upper Permian (especially of the Lower Kazanian; insects of undoubtedly Ufimian age are poorly known), of the Lower Permian, and of the Carboniferous. Among these are even species described from the Lower Kazanian (G. subcostalis, E. sojanensis) and Kungurian (D. quinquefasciata). A number of species are fixed as persisting through a considerable part of the section, that is important due to high evolutionary rates at the species level characteristic of insects (Rasnitsyn, 1987, 2002). So, the species S. vorkutensis is recorded in Member Ia of the Inta Formation and Member P of the Ayach’yaga Subformation, S. alba sp. nov. in Member K of the Inta Formation and Member M of the Rudnik Subformation, K. minima in Member I of the Inta Formation and Member M of the Rudnik Subformation, G. subcostalis in the Inta Formation and Rudnik Subformation below Member M. V. variabilis and L. minor sp. nov. in the Inta Formation and Rudnik Subformation (including Member M), K. latimarginata in the Inta Formation and Ayach’yaga Subformation, D. quinquefasciata and Homaloneura sp. in the Rudnik and Ayach’yaga Subformations.

The general composition of the insect assemblage (absence of dragonflies, rarity of Homoptera, nearly complete absence of holometabolans other than Palaeomanteida and scorpionflies, including the complete absence of beetles and neuropteroids, combined with the abundance of palaeodictyopteroids, cockroaches, and Grylloblattida) resembles only that of the Carrizo Arroyo locality near the Permian–Carboniferous boundary, New Mexico, United States (Rasnitsyn et al., 2004). The presence of some groups characteristic of
Distribution of identifiable insect remains over the rock units of the Inta and Lek-Vorkuta formations, Pechora Basin. In the column “Member,” lettering like E–F, G–H, etc. means the finds dated only up to the interval specified (E–L and M–T, Inta and Lek-Vorkuta formations undivided, M–O, Rudnik and P–T, Ayach’yaga subformations undivided). In the column “n” the number of found representatives of the order given is indicated.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Member</th>
<th>Order</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Including identified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E–F</td>
<td>Blattida</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>Blattida</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>Blattida</td>
<td>3</td>
<td><em>Phyloblatta</em> sp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G–H</td>
<td>Grylloblattida</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Liomopterida</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>Homoptera</td>
<td>1</td>
<td><em>Archescytinida</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>Blattida</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H–I</td>
<td>Grylloblattida</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Blattinopseida</td>
<td>6</td>
<td><em>Glaphyrophlebia subcostalis</em> Mart.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Dictyoneurida</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Palaeomanteida</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Grylloblattida</td>
<td>13</td>
<td><em>Liomopterella</em> sp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Miscropterida</td>
<td>1</td>
<td><em>Vorkutia</em> sp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ia</td>
<td>Blattinopseida</td>
<td>19</td>
<td><em>Glaphyrophlebia subcostalis</em> Mart.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ia</td>
<td>Blattida</td>
<td>12</td>
<td><em>Kunguroblattina</em> sp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ia</td>
<td>Grylloblattida</td>
<td>22</td>
<td><em>Sojanidelia vorkutensis</em> Storozh., <em>Liomopterella</em> sp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F–I</td>
<td>Blattida</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I–K</td>
<td>Blattida</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>Homoptera</td>
<td>1</td>
<td><em>Probolopseidae Ivaiinae</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>Blattida</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>Grylloblattida</td>
<td>3</td>
<td><em>Sylvaprisca alba</em> sp. nov., <em>Liomopterum minor</em> sp. nov.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>Diaphanopterida</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>Dictyoneurida</td>
<td>3</td>
<td><em>Vorkutoneura</em> sp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>Miscropterida</td>
<td>1</td>
<td><em>Vorkutia tschernovi</em> Rohd.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>Homoptera</td>
<td>1</td>
<td><em>Archescytinidae</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>Blattida</td>
<td>1</td>
<td><em>Kunguroblattina latimarginata</em> Vishn.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>Grylloblattida</td>
<td>5</td>
<td><em>Liomopteridae</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E–L</td>
<td>Miscropterida</td>
<td>1</td>
<td><em>Vorkutiiidae gen. sp.</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E–L</td>
<td>Dictyoneurida</td>
<td>3</td>
<td><em>Baeoneura</em> sp., <em>Vorkutoneura variabilis</em> Shar. et Sinitsh.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E–L</td>
<td>Homoptera</td>
<td>1</td>
<td><em>Archescytinidae</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E–L</td>
<td>Panorpida</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>gen. sp. nov. aff. <em>Lithopanorpa</em> Carpenter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E–L</td>
<td>Blattida</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E–L</td>
<td>Grylloblattida</td>
<td>15</td>
<td><em>Sylvaprisca alba</em> sp. nov., <em>Artinska vorkutensis</em> sp. nov.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E–L</td>
<td>Orthoptera</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>Dictyoneurida</td>
<td>1</td>
<td><em>Vorkutoneura variabilis</em> Shar. et Sinitsh.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>Miscropterida</td>
<td>1</td>
<td><em>Cyclocelis</em> sp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>Blattida</td>
<td>7</td>
<td><em>Kunguroblattina minima</em> Vishn.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>Grylloblattida</td>
<td>9</td>
<td><em>Sylvaprisca alba</em> sp. nov., <em>Parakhosara incommoda</em> Storozh., <em>Khozaridelia martynovi</em> Storozh., *Liomopterum minor sp. nov., <em>Liomopteridae</em> indet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L–M</td>
<td>Grylloblattida</td>
<td>1</td>
<td><em>Lemmatophoridae gen. sp.</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M–N</td>
<td>Blattida</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M–N</td>
<td>Perlida</td>
<td>1</td>
<td><em>Uralonympha vorkutica</em> Sinitsh.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table (Contd.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Member</th>
<th>Order</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Including identified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>Blattinopseida</td>
<td>2</td>
<td><em>Glaphyrophlebia</em> subcostalis Mart.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>Dictyoneurida</td>
<td>5</td>
<td><em>Dunbaria borealis</em> Shar. et Sinitsh., <em>Dunbaria quinquefasciata</em> Mart., Homaloneura sp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>Homoptera</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Scytinopterida</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>Palaeomanteida</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>Panorpida</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>Blattida</td>
<td>24</td>
<td><em>Syscioblasta</em> vorkutensis Vishn., <em>Phyloblatta</em> sp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>Grylloblattida</td>
<td>20</td>
<td><em>Artinska</em> sp., <em>Khosaridelia</em> rigida sp. nov., <em>Liomopterum</em> ?minor sp. nov., <em>Liomopterella</em> tota sp. nov., <em>Liomopterella</em> sp., <em>Megakhosaridae</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N–O</td>
<td>Dictyoneurida</td>
<td>1</td>
<td><em>Vorkutoneura</em> variabilis Shar. et Sinitsh.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N–O</td>
<td>Palaeomanteida</td>
<td>1</td>
<td><em>Epimastax sojanensis</em> (Rasn.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F–O</td>
<td>Dictyoneurida</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td>Dictyoneurida</td>
<td>1</td>
<td><em>Vorkutoneura</em> sp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td>Blattida</td>
<td>6</td>
<td><em>Phyloblatta</em> sp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td>Grylloblattida</td>
<td>2</td>
<td><em>Lemmatophoridae</em>, <em>Liomopterella</em> sp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M–O</td>
<td>Hyoperlida</td>
<td>2</td>
<td><em>Tshekardobia</em> sp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M–O</td>
<td>Dictyoneurida</td>
<td>4</td>
<td><em>Vorkutoneura</em> variabilis Shar. et Sinitsh.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M–O</td>
<td>Homoptera</td>
<td>1</td>
<td><em>Archescytinidae</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M–O</td>
<td>Blattida</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M–O</td>
<td>Grylloblattida</td>
<td>7</td>
<td><em>Neprotembia complicata</em> sp. nov., <em>Liomopterum</em> sp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M–O</td>
<td>Orthoptera</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>Dictyoneurida</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>Blattida</td>
<td>3</td>
<td><em>Kunguroblattina</em> sp., <em>Phyloblatta</em> sp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>Grylloblattida</td>
<td>8</td>
<td><em>Sojanidelia vorkutensis</em> Storozh., <em>Liomopterum</em> sp., <em>Liomopterella</em> sp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P–R</td>
<td>Palaeomanteida</td>
<td>1</td>
<td><em>Delopterum</em> sp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P–R</td>
<td>Grylloblattida</td>
<td>1</td>
<td><em>Sojanidelia vorkutensis</em> Storozh.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>Dictyoneurida</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>Blattida</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>Grylloblattida</td>
<td>4</td>
<td><em>Permopectina vorkutensis</em> sp. nov., <em>Vorkutopterum monstruosum</em> sp. nov., <em>Parapermula circummaculosa</em> sp. nov., <em>Kaltanympha vorkutensis</em> Aristov</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S–T</td>
<td>Dictyoneurida</td>
<td>1</td>
<td><em>Dunbaria</em> sp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>Blattida</td>
<td>3</td>
<td><em>Phyloblatta</em> sp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P–T</td>
<td>Dictyoneurida</td>
<td>3</td>
<td><em>Homaloneura</em> sp. nov., <em>Dunbaria quinquefasciata</em> (Mart.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P–T</td>
<td>Mischopterida</td>
<td>1</td>
<td><em>Vorkutia</em> sp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P–T</td>
<td>Blattida</td>
<td>4</td>
<td><em>Kunguroblattina latimarginata</em> Vishn.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P–T</td>
<td>Grylloblattida</td>
<td>7</td>
<td><em>Sojanidelia vorkutensis</em> Storozh., <em>Sojanidelia multimediana</em> Storozh., <em>Liomopteridae</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P–T</td>
<td>Forficulida</td>
<td>1</td>
<td><em>Protelytrina</em> gen. sp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M–T</td>
<td>Dictyoneurida</td>
<td>2</td>
<td><em>Dunbaria quinquefasciata</em> (Mart.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M–T</td>
<td>Palaeomanteida</td>
<td>1</td>
<td><em>Epimastax sojanensis</em> (Rasn.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M–T</td>
<td>Panorpida</td>
<td>2</td>
<td><em>Agetopanorpa</em> sp., <em>Petromantis</em> sp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M–T</td>
<td>Blattida</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M–T</td>
<td>Grylloblattida</td>
<td>5</td>
<td><em>Liomopteridae</em></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
the Carboniferous rather than the beginning of the Permian (*Baeneura, Cyclocelis,* and *Homaloneura*), strengthens this impression. However, there are some basic differences, including the occurrence of many taxa characteristic either of the Kungurian (or broader, of Lower Permian), or of the Upper Permian and, especially, of the Lower Kazanian. As already mentioned, there are even species (sometimes abundant) described from the Kungurian and Lower Kazanian. The older forms show no preference for the lower, or the younger ones for the upper part of the section (as shown above, the same is true of species widespread within the section). Therefore, assignment of the insects from the Vorkuta Group to the lowermost Permian is excluded.

It is also not yet possible to identify the age of insect-bearing deposits within the interval of the Kungurian through the Kazanian (and even the Lower Tatarian): from the entomological point of view, the Vorkuta Group may occupy any level in this interval. Independent of the dating chosen, one should assume that the assemblage was enriched with unusually long-lived insect species, recorded as such nowhere else, and with the higher taxa, which occur elsewhere only much earlier or (if the Kungurian level is selected) much later.

In any case, the insects do not support the hypothesis of a considerable age difference between parts of the Vorkuta Group section. The distribution of transient species shows that assemblages of different parts of this section are much more similar to each other than to those of the Kungurian (Chekarda) and the Lower Kazanian (Soyana, Tikhie Gory). Indeed, the Inta assemblage shares four species with the Rudnik assemblage, two with the Ayach’yaga assemblage, one with the Lower Kazanian assemblage, and none of the species with the Kungurian assemblage. The Rudnik assemblage shares two species with the Ayach’yaga assemblage, one with the Lower Kazanian assemblage, and one with the Kungurian assemblage. Finally, the poorly studied Ayach’yaga assemblage shares one species with the Kungurian assemblage and none of the species with the Lower Kazanian assemblage. As mentioned above, there are no species common to the Vorkuta Group and the remaining Permian assemblages.

The overall composition of the assemblage differs between the formations, subformations, and sometimes even between members of the Vorkuta Group, but these differences do not significantly affect the evolutionary level of the assemblage. So, of 173 specimens collected in the Inta Formation, 63 belong to Grylloblattida (22 in Member Ia); 65 to Blattida (12 in Ia); 25 to Blattinopseida (19 in Ia), 7 to Dictyoneurida, 4 to Homoptera, 3 to Mischopterida, 3 to Diaphanopterida, 1 to Palaeomanteida, 1 to Panorpida, and 1 to Orthoptera. The dominants are Grylloblattida (38% specimens), Blattida (37%), and Blattinopseida (*G. subcostalis*, 14%). In Member Ia (53 specimens), the order of dominance is different: 41% belong to Grylloblattida, 36% to Blattinopseida, and 23% to Blattida.

The Rudnik Subformation of the Lek-Vorkuta Formation contains 115 specimens, of which 49 belong to Blattida; 39 to Grylloblattida; 12 to Dictyoneurida; two to Blattinopseida, Hypoperlidia, Homoptera, Palaeomanteida, Perlida, and Orthoptera; and one to Mischopterida and Panorpida. The orders that dominate here differ only slightly from those in the Inta Formation: Blattida, 44%; Grylloblattida, 43%; and Dictyoneurida, 10%. Member M yielded only 18 insects and shows no significant differences from the Rudnik assemblage: Grylloblattida, about 50%; Blattida, 39%; and Dictyoneurida, 5%.

The Ayach’yaga Subformation is also insufficiently studied: only 43 identifiable insect specimens have been collected, including 20 Grylloblattida, 13 Blattida, 7 Dictyoneurida, 1 Mischopterida, 1 Palaeomanteida, and 1 Forficulida. The dominating orders are the same as in the Rudnik Subformation: Grylloblattida (46%), Blattida (30%), and Dictyoneurida (16%), but their relative abundances are somewhat different.

Therefore, the insect composition is not identical in all the formations and subformations. However, excluding marker Horizon Ia with aberrant composition, we find out that in the remaining part of the Inta Formation the relative abundances are as follows: Grylloblattida, 37%; Blattida, 43%; and Dictyoneurida 6%: i.e., virtually as in the Rudnik Subformation. The differences of the Ayach’yaga Subformation (about 10% more palaedictyopterans and less cockroaches) are so slight that should not be interpreted without a deeper knowledge on changes in ecology and paleogeography. As for the insect composition of Horizon Ia (of 53 specimens, 22 Grylloblattida, 19 Blattinopseida and 12 Blattida), it is clearly unusual, first of all in the abundance of Blattinopseida. The only known analogy is the Niedermoschel locality (basal Permian in southeastern Germany; Hörnschemeyer, 1999), but even there Blattinopseidae constitute only 11% of the assemblage, Grylloblattida are absolute dominants (40%), and subdominants (15%) are miomopterans instead of cockroaches. Moreover, there are seven species of Blattinopseida instead of only one in the Vorkuta Group (Hörnschemeyer and Stapf, 2001). The reasons for the peculiarity of the assemblage in Horizon Ia (and in Niedermoschel) are uncertain, but in the case of Ia it is clearly not attributable to an age difference.

So, the relative abundances are quite similar in all the Vorkuta assemblages: Blattida and Grylloblattida each comprise about 30–45% of specimens, and Dictyoneurida a much lower percentage (6–16%). Nothing similar is found in other sufficiently rich Permian assemblages of comparable age. In Chekarda (Kungurian, Middle Urals), Kaltan (Kazanian, Kuznetsk Basin), and Soyana (Lower Kazanian, Arkhangelsk Region), Grylloblattida retain a similar level of dominance (40, 35, and 32%, respectively). However, they are followed closely by scorpionflies (15, 25, and 17%) and Homoptera (13, 25, and 21%, respectively), both of
which are very rare in the Pechora Basin. Conversely, cockroaches and palaeodictyopterans constitute at most a few percent in Chekarda and Soyana, and are absent in Kaltan.

Interesting results are obtained from more detailed analysis of the dominant and better studied order Grylloblattida. Compared to Chekarda, Soyana, and Kaltan, the Vorkuta grylloblattid assemblage stands out for its low diversity. It cannot be explained by a real decrease in global faunal diversity, because in addition to those seven families which are found in the Vorkuta Group, six more should exist at that time, as they have been recorded from both older and younger deposits. The apparently diminished diversity of the Vorkuta assemblage may be associated with a small amount of material collected there (some 130 specimens compared to 1500 from Chekarda, 500 from Soyana, and 250 from Kaltan). Assemblages of similar volume (about 100 specimens) from Tikhe Gory (Lower Kazanian, Tatarstan), Kityak (Upper Kazanian, Kirov Region), and Kargala (Lower Tatarian, Orenburg Region) contain even fewer families: five, three, and four, respectively.

In family composition the Vorkuta assemblage is most similar to Chekarda and Soyana, being distinct from the former in the presence of Permotermopsidae and from the latter in the presence of Permoptectinidae. Differences from Kaltan are more significant. In Kaltan there are no Lemmatophoridae characteristic of Vorkuta, whereas Eurypilionidae, Kortshakolidae, and Archiprobnidae, unknown from Vorkuta, are present, and only three genera in common are recorded.

The Vorkuta Grylloblattida are dominated by Lio-
mopteridae, constituting more than 70%, and subdom-
inated by Lemmatophoridae and Ideliidae (about 10% each). Chekarda differs in the dominance of Tillyarsembiidae (about 24%), Atactophlebiidae and Lemmatophoridae (15% and 14%, respectively), and infrequent Liomopteridae (some 10%). Soyana differs in the subequal share of Liomopteridae and Ideliidae (about 30% each, Lemmatophoridae about 10%). Kaltan is similar to the Vorkuta Group in the absolute dominance of Liomopteridae (about 80%) and abundance of Ideliidae (some 13%; next subdominant Megakhosaridae constitute 5%), but distinct in the absence of Lemmatophoridae, being one of the dominants in Vorkuta.

Therefore, the Vorkuta Grylloblattida are most sim-
ilar to those of Chekarda in the family composition and
taxonomic dominance of Liomopteridae and Lem-
matophoridae. The generic composition of the Vorkuta assem-
blage (of 13 genera two are endemic, four transient, four
Lower Permian, and only one Upper Permian) confirms this similarity. Kaltan appears the least similar, first of all in the family and generic composition.

Comparison of Grylloblattida from the Lek-Vorkuta and Inta formations shows a considerable diversity decrease in the latter. Despite nearly equal amounts of material, seven families and 12 genera are recorded in the Lek-Vorkuta Formation, and only two families and five genera in the Inta Formation. The reason is not an extinction of Grylloblattida at the boundary between the formations (all the Lek-Vorkuta families, except for Permoptectinidae, survived into the Lower Kazanian, including those not recorded in the Inta Formation), but probably environmental change. At the Lek-Vorkuta/Inta transition, mostly rare and poorly known groups became extinct, so it is difficult to analyze the causes of these changes. One can only note that the fauna of the Lek-Vorkuta Formation is more similar to Chekarda, which was situated in the warm semiarid zone, nearer to the equator than Vorkuta. The Inta fauna is more similar to Kaltan, which was situated further north, in the temperate humid zone. So these differences are presumably of climatic nature, the more so that a small shift of the zonal climatic boundary, which ran near Vorkuta, is sufficient for climatic changes (Chumakov and Zharkov, 2002; Eskov, 2002).

It remains to discuss the ecology of insects from the Vorkuta Group. Generally insects of the mid-Permian (Kungurian–Kazanian) are quite diverse, but here their composition is markedly impoverished, at least at the ordinal level. On the mobilistic map for the mid-Permian (Eskov, 2002, text-fig. 498), the Pechora Basin occupies one of the northernmost positions among all more or less studied Permian entomofaunas (only Kaltan is further to the north). It is known that high-latitude insect assemblages were strongly impoverished in the Paleozoic (Chunya in the Upper Carboniferous of the Tunguska Basin; History …, 2002). Similarity to Chun-
ya is stressed by the presence of the genus Baeoneura, formally known only from there. Therefore, one cannot exclude that the Vorkuta entomofauna was impoverished due to climatic factors, but the degree of this impoverishment is incomparable to that in Chunya: there are very few cockroaches, and palaeodictyopterans, though abundant, are represented by few species. Kaltan, in those times still closer to the pole than Pechora, is even richer than the latter in the number of families, but may be just due to the fact that it is better studied. Indeed, in the unusual order of dominance (80% of Grylloblattida belong to a single family) the Kaltan assemblage apparently has no Permian analogues.

Another peculiarity of the Vorkuta assemblage is the rarity of aquatic insect remains. In the Permian they are generally not numerous compared to the Mesozoic and Cenozoic, in both coal-bearing (Vorkuta, Kuznetsk Basin) and coal-less environments (Chekarda, Soyana, Tikhe Gory). However, in the Vorkuta Group they are extremely few: only two stoneflies (Perlida) for more than 340 insect specimens: that is too few even for the Permian. Deposits of the Vorkuta Group are considered to be chiefly lagoon-marine, lagoon-lake, and lagoon-swamp (The Coal-Bearing …, 1990, p. 7), with the marine influence markedly decreasing from the Ayach’yaga Subformation to the Inta Formation (Makedonov, 1965; Pukhonto, 1998). On account of predominantly inorganic composition of the insect-
bearing rock, these were lakes (or lagoons) but not swamps. However, strong influence of swamp and maybe sea water on the water bodies where the insects were buried is quite possible, and may explain the scarcity of aquatic insects.

So, the analysis of the Vorkuta entomofauna demonstrated that the insect assemblage is essentially indivisible at least in the interval of Members I–R, which is inconsistent with the hypothesis of considerable age differences between parts of the Vorkuta Group section. Characteristic of the Vorkuta assemblage are an impoverished character, supposedly due to its northern origin, mixed composition including Kungurian, Lower Kazanian and sometimes even Upper Carboniferous elements, and extreme paucity of aquatic insects.

**SYSTEMATIC PALEONTOLOGY**

Order Grylloblattida

Suborder Lemmatophorina

**Family Lemmatophoridae Sellards, 1909**

**Genus Sylvaprisca Aristov, 2001**

*Sylvaprisca alba* Aristov, sp. nov.

**Etymology.** From the Latin *alba* (white).

**Holotype.** PIN, no. 1206/16, well preserved forewing (positive impression); Vorkuta locality, Khal’mer-Yu, Vodorazdel’nyi Creek, Outcrop 10, Inta Formation.

**Description** (Fig. 1a). Medium-sized insect. The anterior margin of the forewing is nearly straight, its apex is slightly acuminate, and the posterior margin convex. The costal area is somewhat broader than the subcostal one; SC bears straight anterior branches and terminates about the wing midlength. R bears two anterior branches, basal one being long with five endings, distal one simple. The medial vein is basally fused with CuA, MA bearing three to five branches, MP simple or two-branched. CuA bears two endings; CuA₂ is simple. Crossveins are simple or form a double cell row in the medial area.

**Measurements (mm):** forewing length, 16.

**Comparison.** This species differs from the most similar species, *S. gravis* from the Kungurian Chekarda locality (Novokshonov, 1999), being distinct in the narrower basal wing part, less convex midlength, possibly MP are simple. CuA bifurcates about its apex is slightly acuminate, and the posterior margin convex. The costal area is somewhat broader than the subcostal one; SC bears straight anterior branches and terminates about the wing midlength. R bears two anterior branches, basal one being long with five endings, distal one simple. The medial vein is basally fused with CuA, MA bearing three to five branches, MP simple or two-branched. CuA₂ bears two endings; CuA₃ is simple. Crossveins are simple or form a double cell row in the medial area.

**Measurements (mm):** forewing length, 16.

**Comparison.** This species differs from the most similar species, *S. gravis* from the Kungurian Chekarda locality (Novokshonov, 1999), being distinct in the narrower basal wing part, less convex midlength, possibly MP are simple. CuA bifurcates about its apex is slightly acuminate, and the posterior margin convex. The costal area is somewhat broader than the subcostal one; SC bears straight anterior branches and terminates about the wing midlength. R bears two anterior branches, basal one being long with five endings, distal one simple. The medial vein is basally fused with CuA, MA bearing three to five branches, MP simple or two-branched. CuA₂ bears two endings; CuA₃ is simple. Crossveins are simple or form a double cell row in the medial area.

**Measurements (mm):** forewing length, 16.

**Comparison.** This species differs from the most similar species, *S. gravis* from the Kungurian Chekarda locality (Novokshonov, 1999), being distinct in the narrower basal wing part, less convex midlength, possibly MP are simple. CuA bifurcates about its apex is slightly acuminate, and the posterior margin convex. The costal area is somewhat broader than the subcostal one; SC bears straight anterior branches and terminates about the wing midlength. R bears two anterior branches, basal one being long with five endings, distal one simple. The medial vein is basally fused with CuA, MA bearing three to five branches, MP simple or two-branched. CuA₂ bears two endings; CuA₃ is simple. Crossveins are simple or form a double cell row in the medial area.

**Measurements (mm):** forewing length, 16.

**Comparison.** This species differs from the most similar species, *S. gravis* from the Kungurian Chekarda locality (Novokshonov, 1999), being distinct in the narrower basal wing part, less convex midlength, possibly MP are simple. CuA bifurcates about its apex is slightly acuminate, and the posterior margin convex. The costal area is somewhat broader than the subcostal one; SC bears straight anterior branches and terminates about the wing midlength. R bears two anterior branches, basal one being long with five endings, distal one simple. The medial vein is basally fused with CuA, MA bearing three to five branches, MP simple or two-branched. CuA₂ bears two endings; CuA₃ is simple. Crossveins are simple or form a double cell row in the medial area.

**Measurements (mm):** forewing length, 16.

**Comparison.** This species differs from the most similar species, *S. gravis* from the Kungurian Chekarda locality (Novokshonov, 1999), being distinct in the narrower basal wing part, less convex midlength, possibly MP are simple. CuA bifurcates about its apex is slightly acuminate, and the posterior margin convex. The costal area is somewhat broader than the subcostal one; SC bears straight anterior branches and terminates about the wing midlength. R bears two anterior branches, basal one being long with five endings, distal one simple. The medial vein is basally fused with CuA, MA bearing three to five branches, MP simple or two-branched. CuA₂ bears two endings; CuA₃ is simple. Crossveins are simple or form a double cell row in the medial area.

**Measurements (mm):** forewing length, 16.
Suborder Grylloblattina

**Family Permopectinidae fam. nov.**

*Type genus. Permopectina* gen. nov.

*Diagnosis.* Small insect. In the forewings costal area moderately wide, near wing base wider than, and at wing midlength as wide as, subcostal one. RS and MA with few branches, MP pectinately multibranched. CuA extended into distal wing third, pectinate, its branches bent at junctions with crossveins and ending blindly between them. Intercubital area wide, filled with network of crossveins and reduced anal area, unknown in the other families. However, the moderately wide costal area, multibranched pectinate MP combined to few branches on both MA and RS, and pectinate CuA with blind branches allow us to consider Permopectinidae as a new family.

*Composition.* Type genus.

*Remarks.* The new family is assigned to the suborder Grylloblattina on account of similarity to the family Idelinellidae Storozhenko, 1997. Characteristic of the smaller representatives of the latter family (Novokshonov, 1999; Aristov, 2004a) are the wide intercubital area filled with the network of crossveins and reduced anal area, unknown in the other families. However, the moderately wide costal area, multibranched pectinate MP combined to few branches on both MA and RS, and pectinate CuA with blind branches allow us to consider Permopectinidae as a new family.

*Genus Permopectina* Aristov, gen. nov.

*Etymology.* From the Permian and the Latin *pecten* (comb). Gender feminine.

---

Fig. 1. Members of the order Grylloblattida: (a) *Sylvaprisca alba* sp. nov., holotype PIN, no. 1206/16; (b) *Artinska vorkutensis* sp. nov., holotype PIN, no. 1631/249; (c) *Artinska* sp., specimen PIN, no. 1631/219; (d, e) *Neprotembia complicata* sp. nov., holotype PIN, no. 1631/314, (d) as preserved and (e) reconstruction. Scale bar in all figures 2 mm.
Type species. *P. tshekardensis* sp. nov.

Diagnosis. Anterior margin of forewing straight, apex rounded, posterior margin straight. RS simple, originating before wing midlength; MA with two or three branches; CuA and CuP straight. Crossveins form network of small cells in basal wing half, a double cell row about midwing, simple in distal part.

Composition. Besides the type species, *P. vorkutensis* sp. nov. from the Vorkuta locality.

Description (Fig. 2a). R joins the anterior wing margin. MP is desclerotized near midlength, with five branches. CuA bears four branches.

Measurements (mm): forewing length, 9.

Material. Holotype.

Fig. 2. Members of the suborder Grylloblattina: (a) *Permopectina tshekardensis* gen. et sp. nov., holotype PIN, no. 1700/4930; (b) *Permopectina vorkutensis* gen. et sp. nov., holotype PIN, no. 1206/34; and (c) *Khosaridelia rigida* sp. nov., holotype PIN, no. 1631/407.
Bed 13b: Lek-Vorkuta Formation, Ayach’yaga Subformation, Member R.

**Description** (Fig. 2b). R is directed to the wing apex. MP shows no desclerotization, with three branches, CuA bears five branches.

**Measurements** (mm): forewing length about 8.

**Comparison**. This species differs from the type species in R directed apically and in the smaller number of branches on MP.

**Material**. Holotype.

**Family Permotermostidae Martynov, 1937**

**Genus Khosaridelia Storozhenko, 1992**

*Khosaridelia rigida* Aristov, sp. nov.

**Etymology**. From the Latin *rigida* (stiff).

**Holotype**. PIN, no. 1631/407, moderately preserved forewing (part and counterpart); Upper Syr’yaga coalfield, borehole VSK-608, depth 1446.7 m; Rudnik Subformation, Member N.

**Description** (Fig. 2c). Medium-sized insect. The anterior margin of the forewing is straight; the costal area about wing midlength is narrower that the subcostal one. SC bears short anterior branches, terminates in the distal wing third; the subcostal area is crossed with long crossveins. RS originates in the basal wing third, bifurcates in the distal wing third, with no less than two branches. MA and MP bear three or four branches each, CuA bears seven branches. Crossveins are simple or form a double cell row.

**Measurements** (mm): forewing length, about 30.

**Comparison**. This species differs from the type species *Kh. martynovi* from the Rudnik Subformation (Member M) of the Vorkuta Group, Pechora Basin (Storozhenko, 1998), in the narrow costal area and in the earlier origin and later bifurcation of RS.

**Material**. Holotype.

**Family Liomopteridae Sellards, 1909**

**Genus Vorkutopterum Aristov, gen. nov.**

**Etymology**. From the Vorkuta locality and the genus *Liomopterus*. Gender neutral.

**Type species**. *V. monstruosum* sp. nov.

**Diagnosis**. Medium-sized insect. Costal area twice as wide as subcostal one; basal branch of MA not reaching wing margin, ending among crossveins. MP fused with CuA, bearing eight branches altogether; the basal branch of CuA fused with CuP.

**Composition**. Type species.

**Comparison**. This species differs from all genera of the family in the anastomosis MP + CuA.

**Vorkutopterum monstruosum Aristov, sp. nov.**

**Etymology**. Latin *monstruosum* (abnormal).

**Holotype**. PIN, no. 1631/239, well preserved forewing fragment; Yun’yaga coalfield, borehole YuK-1, depth 247.6 m; Lek-Vorkuta Formation, Ayach’yaga Subformation, Member R.

**Description** (Fig. 3b). The anterior branches of SC are simple and dichotomous, connected with crossveins. MA bears more than three branches; two branches of CuA + MP form an anastomosis. CuP is S-shaped; anal veins are straight.

**Measurements** (mm): forewing length, about 25.

**Material**. Holotype.

**Genus Parapermula Sharov, 1961**

*Parapermula circummaculosa* Aristov, sp. nov.

**Etymology**. From the Latin *circummaculosa* (circled with spots).

**Holotype**. PIN, no. 1631/414, well preserved forewing fragment; Khal’mer-Yu coalfield, borehole KhK-997, depth 717.7 m; Lek-Vorkuta Formation, Ayach’yaga Subformation, Member R (upper part).

**Description** (Fig. 3a). Medium-sized insect. The anterior margin of the forewing is convex; the apex is acuminate. The costal area is twice as wide as the subcostal one, crossed with simple anterior branches of SC, the latter are connected by crossveins. R bears five simple anterior branches; RS originates beyond the wing midlength, pectinate, with four branches. MA bears five endings, its distal branch running close to RS; MP is simple; CuA bears four or five branches. Crossveins are simple. The color pattern consists of small rounded spots and dark streaks along the anterior and apical margins and along the distal branch of CuA.

**Measurements** (mm): forewing length, about 35.

**Comparison**. The new species is most similar to *P. sibirica* from the Kaltan locality, Kuznetsk Basin (Upper Permian, Kuznetsk Formation) (Sharov, 1961), differing from it in the larger size, late origin of RS, simple MP, and in the absence of the double cell row formed by crossveins.

**Material**. Holotype.

**Genus Liomopterella Sharov, 1961**

*Liomopterella parakitiakensis* Aristov, sp. nov.

**Etymology**. From the Greek *para* (near) and the species *L. kitiakensis*.

**Holotype**. PIN, no. 1631/408, well preserved forewing fragment; Upper Syr’yaga coalfield, borehole VSK-607, depth 1015.5 m; Lek-Vorkuta Formation, Ayach’yaga Subformation, Member R (upper part).

**Description** (Fig. 3c). Medium-sized insect. The costal area near the base is somewhat wider, in the basal third twice as wide as the subcostal one, and crossed by simple and bifurcating anterior branches of SC. RS originates in the basal wing third; MP bifurcates about wing midlength. The first bifurcation of CuA₁ is not far from its base; CuA₂ is extended almost...
to the posterior wing margin, bent at junctions to crossveins. Crossveins are simple or form a double cell row.

**Measurements (mm):** Forewing length, about 20.

**Comparison.** The new species is most similar to *L. kitiakensis* from the Kityak locality, Kirov Region (Upper Kazanian, Upper Permian) (Aristov, 2004b), differing from it in the narrow costal area (about as wide as the subcostal area near the wing base) and CuA₂ bent at crossvein junctions.

**Material.** Holotype.

*Liomopterella tota* Aristov, sp. nov.

**Etymology.** From the Latin *tota* (complete).

**Holotype.** PIN, no. 1631/429, forewing (part and counterpart); Khal’mer-Yu coalfield, borehole KhK-972, depth 346.5 m; Lek-Vorkuta Formation, Rudnik Subformation, Member N.

**Description (Fig. 3d).** Medium-sized insect. The anterior and posterior wing margins are weakly convex, the apex is acuminate. The costal area is twice as wide as the subcostal one, crossed with simple, straight anterior branches of SC, the latter ending at two-thirds of the wing length. R bears long, simple or bifurcating anterior branches; RS originates in the basal wing quarter, bears six branches. MA is three-branched, MP bears four endings. The medial area with a triple cell row. CuA₁ and CuA₂ are two-branched; CuP is curved basally. Crossveins are simple or forming a double to triple cell row.

**Measurements (mm):** Forewing length, 32.
Comparison. The new species is most similar to *L. bella* from the Kaltan locality (Sharov, 1961), differing from it in the greater number of medial branches, two-branched CuA₁, and the triple cell row in the medial area.

Material. Holotype.

**Genus Liomopterum Sellards, 1909**

*Liomopterum minor* Aristov, sp. nov.

Etymology. From the Latin *minor* (lesser).

Holotype. PIN, no. 1631/409, well preserved forewing; Khal’mer-Yu coalfield, borehole KhK-993, depth 328 m; Inta Formation, Member K.

Description (Fig. 4). Medium-sized insect. The anterior and posterior wing margins are convex, the apex is acuminate. The costal area in the basal wing third is twice as wide as the subcostal one; anterior branches of SC are simple; anterior branches of R are simple or bifurcating. RS bears five branches, originates in the basal wing third; MA is three-branched; MP and CuA bear two endings each; the basal branch of CuA₁ is S-shaped. CuP and anal veins are straight. Crossveins are simple or form a double cell row.

Measurements (mm): forewing length, about 18.

Comparison. The new species is most similar to *L. ornatum* Carpenter, 1950 from the Elmo locality (Storozhenko, 1998), differing from it in the earlier origin of RS and S-shaped basal branch of CuA₁.

Material. Besides the holotype, paratype PIN, no. 1631/248 (borehole VSK-14, depth 128.3 m, Rudnik Subformation, Member M), and also tentatively assigned to the species and not included in the type series specimens 1631/420 (borehole K-1297, depth 884.4–884.8 m, Rudnik Subformation, Member N) and 1631/425 (borehole VSK-195, depth 129.0–129.2 m, stratigraphical assignment uncertain).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are grateful to S.K. Pukhonto (Vernadsky State Geological Museum, Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow) and V.A. Alaf’ev (OOO Geonom, Vorkuta) for consultations and assistance in stratigraphic dating of specimens.

The study was partly supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research, project no. 01-04-48-925.

REFERENCES


4. Biotas of Eastern European Russia at the Early–Late Permian Boundary (GEOS, Moscow, 1998) [in Russian].


8. Geology of Coal and Oil Shale Deposits of the USSR, Vol. 3: The Pechora Coal Basin and Other Coal Deposits of the Komi ASSR and Nenets Autonomous Area (Nedra, Moscow, 1965) [in Russian].


20. V. V. Pogorevich and A. V. Makedonov, “Permian System,” in Geology of Coal and Oil Shale Deposits of the USSR, Vol. 3: The Pechora Coal Basin and Other Coal Deposits of the Komi ASSR and Nenets Autonomous Area (Nedra, Moscow, 1965), pp. 27–70 [in Russian].


